



**San Mateo County Flood & Sea Level Rise
Resiliency District
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

County Supervisors:

At-Large Lisa Gauthier – Vice Chair
District 3 Ray Mueller

City Representatives:

Coast Debbie Ruddock - Chair
North Donna Colson
Central Adam Rak
South Kaia Eakin
At-Large Marie Chuang

HYBRID MEETING: IN-PERSON AND BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

This meeting will be held **in-person on the first floor of 1700 S. El Camino Real in San Mateo, and remotely** for public participation. Participants attending the meeting remotely via Zoom should click on the following link:

<https://oneshoreline-org.zoom.us/j/82886591325>

or call 669-444-9171 (Meeting ID# 828 8659 1325)

AGENDA

February 23, 2026

4:00 PM

1. Roll Call
2. **Public Comment** Persons wishing to address the Board on District-related matters not on this Agenda, as well as items listed under Action to Approve the Consent Agenda, may speak for up to two minutes; comments on Agenda items shall be heard during that item for up to two minutes.
3. **Action to Set the Agenda and Approve the Consent Agenda**
 - A. Approve the Minutes of the January 26, 2026 OneShoreline Board meeting
4. **Regular Business**
 - A. Adopt Resolution No. 2026-02-23 in support of a OneShoreline application to the California State Parks Division of Boating and Waterways to fund the City of Pacifica Beach Boulevard Offshore Artificial Reef Study
 - B. Receive an update on OneShoreline’s *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*, the first iteration of which provides planning, design, and policy recommendations for stormwater systems, roads, and wastewater and water recycling systems based on an updated understanding of climate impacts
 - C. Authorize the CEO to execute Amendment 2 to an Agreement with Stantec Consulting Engineers, Inc. to develop the *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*
5. **Chair’s Report ***
6. **CEO’s Report ***
7. **Board Member Reports and Items for a Future Agenda ***
8. **Adjournment**

* There is no written staff report for this item

Meeting information, and public access and communications

- Verbal public comments will be accepted during the meeting in person or remotely. Remote comments can be submitted at the appropriate time by raising your hand via Zoom’s Chat or hand raising functions, or speaking if joining by phone. Written public comments can be submitted by email to board@OneShoreline.org by noon on the meeting day – indicate the agenda item to which your comment applies and it will be read or summarized at the meeting by the Board Clerk.
- If you require assistance to participate in the meeting or wish to submit written communication to all Board Members regarding the meeting, please contact the Clerk of the Board by 9:00 am on the day of the meeting.
- Public records relating to an open session item on the agenda are available at least 72 hours prior to a Regular Board meeting or at least 24 hours prior to a Special Board meeting, when these records are distributed to Board members. Public records are available at the District office at 1700 South El Camino Real, Suite 502, San Mateo, CA 94402 and at OneShoreline.org. To receive these documents electronically, please email board@OneShoreline.org.

SAN MATEO COUNTY
FLOOD AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCY DISTRICT (ONESHORELINE)
Board of Directors Meeting Held in Person and Remotely via Zoom
January 26, 2026
DRAFT – MINUTES

1. Roll Call

Chair Ruddock called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. via Zoom video conference software. Acting Clerk of the Board, Stephanie Lau, took the roll call.

Directors Present:

Debbie Ruddock, Representing Coast (Chair)
Lisa Gauthier, Representing the Board of Supervisors, District 4 (Vice Chair)
Ray Mueller, Representing the Board of Supervisors, District 3
Donna Colson, Representing Northern San Mateo County Cities
Kaia Eakin, Representing Southern San Mateo County Cities
Adam Rak, Representing Central San Mateo County Cities (*joined at 4:30 p.m.*)

Director(s) Absent:

Marie Chuang, Representing Cities At-Large

Staff Present:

Len Materman, Chief Executive Officer
Brian Kulich, Esq., Legal Counsel
Lucy Dong, Director of Finance and Administration
Summer Bundy, Director of Project Management
Johnathan Perisho, Project Manager
Stephanie Lau, Consulting Grant and Communications Advisor and Acting Clerk of the Board

2. Public Comment

Public Speaker(s): None

3. Action to Set the Agenda and Approve the Consent Agenda

- A. Approve the Minutes of the December 15, 2025 OneShoreline Board meeting

Motion made by Vice Chair Gauthier and seconded by Director Eakin to set the agenda and approve the consent agenda:

Ayes: Colson, Eakin, Gauthier, Mueller, Rak, Ruddock

Noes: None

Absent: Chuang, Rak

Vote: 5-0-2

4. Regular Business

- A. Discuss and take action to elect Board officers; confirm, establish, and appoint members to Board committees; and appoint Board members to external agencies

Len Materman and Brian Kulich spoke on this item. Chair Ruddock, Director Colson, and Vice Chair Gauthier also spoke on this item.

Public Speaker(s): None

Motion made by Director Colson and seconded by Vice Chair Gauthier to approve Chair Ruddock continuing her role as Chair of the Board:

Ayes: Colson, Eakin, Gauthier, Mueller, Rak, Ruddock

Noes: None

Absent: Chuang, Rak

Vote: 5-0-2

Motion made by Chair Ruddock and seconded by Director Colson to approve Vice Chair Gauthier continuing her role as Vice Chair of the Board:

Ayes: Colson, Eakin, Gauthier, Mueller, Rak, Ruddock

Noes: None

Absent: Chuang, Rak

Vote: 5-0-2

Motion made by Director Mueller and seconded by Vice Chair Gauthier to approve maintaining existing committee assignments:

Ayes: Colson, Eakin, Gauthier, Mueller, Rak, Ruddock

Noes: None

Absent: Chuang, Rak

Vote: 5-0-2

B. Receive a presentation on recent updates to the Brown Act

Len Materman introduced the item, and Counsel Brian Kulich presented on this item.

Director Rak joined the meeting at 4:30 p.m.

Director Colson, Director Eakin, Director Ruddock, Vice Chair Gauthier, Director Mueller, and Director Rak also spoke on this item.

Public Speaker(s): None

C. Receive a presentation by Marcus Griswold, Senior Environmental Scientist at the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, on opportunities to establish advance mitigation strategies such as a mitigation bank

Len Materman and Johnathan Perisho introduced the item, and Marcus Griswold presented on this item.

Vice Chair Gauthier exited the room at 4:58 p.m. and returned at 5:01 p.m.

Director Mueller left the meeting at 5:03 p.m.

Director Rak, Director Colson, Chair Ruddock, Director Eakin, Len Materman, and Johnathan Perisho also spoke on this item.

Public Speaker(s): None

- D. Receive a presentation by Carolyn Kousky, Executive Director and Founder of Insurance for Good, a key non-profit partner of OneShoreline's Home Protection and Insurability Initiative

Director Rak exited the room at 5:16 p.m. and returned at 5:18 p.m.

Len Materman introduced the item, and Carolyn Kousky presented on this item. Director Colson, Director Eakin, and Len Materman also spoke on this item.

Vice Chair Gauthier left the meeting at 5:23 p.m.

Public Speaker(s): Colleen Corrigan from San Francisco Bay Area Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

5. Chair's Report

None.

Public Speaker(s): None

6. CEO's Report

Len Materman provided a brief update on a potential countywide ballot measure to secure long-term funding for climate resilience projects and the ongoing operations of OneShoreline

Chair Ruddock and Director Colson also spoke on the item.

Public Speaker(s): None

Directors Eakin and Colson left the meeting at 5:43 p.m.

7. Board Member Reports and Items for a Future Agenda

None.

Public Speaker(s): None

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 5:43 p.m.

**San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District
Agenda Report**

Date: February 23, 2026
To: San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District Board of Directors
From: Len Materman, CEO
Subject: Adopt Resolution No. 2026-02-23 in support of a OneShoreline application to the California State Parks Division of Boating and Waterways to fund the City of Pacifica Beach Boulevard Offshore Artificial Reef Study

Recommendation

That the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (“OneShoreline”) Board of Directors (“Board”) adopt Resolution No. 2026-02-23 to approve the application for grant funds from California State Parks Division of Boating and Waterways (“DBW”).

Background and Discussion

The City of Pacifica (“City”) is experiencing significant coastal erosion that threatens homes, businesses, utilities, and public access infrastructure along Beach Boulevard, a vital transportation corridor and coastal access area. In response, the City is advancing the Beach Boulevard Infrastructure Resiliency Project, which proposes replacement of existing seawalls, beach nourishment, and public access improvements such as new promenades and beach access points. While seawalls provide important shoreline protection, they can also contribute to long-term beach narrowing, requiring costly sand replacement to maintain the adjacent beach.

OneShoreline, in collaboration with the City, is seeking funding to evaluate whether an offshore artificial reef could help to improve climate resilience along Beach Boulevard. A well-designed reef could attenuate wave energy before it reaches the shoreline and reduce wave overtopping and coastal flooding. It could also help to retain and even accumulate sand and thus reduce the frequency and cost of beach sand renourishment and extend the useful life of the City’s proposed protection infrastructure. In addition, the reef has the potential to create new rocky intertidal habitat for marine life and provide recreational benefits, including enhanced surfing conditions.

On February 2, 2026, OneShoreline submitted an application to the DBW Shoreline Erosion Control Grant Program for FY 2027-28 to complete the *Pacifica Beach Boulevard Offshore Artificial Reef Study*. OneShoreline and the City would partner with researchers from the University of California, Santa Cruz Center for Coastal Climate Resilience to conduct technical analyses of potential reef designs using advanced coastal modeling tools. These analyses would evaluate how various reef configurations could affect coastal dynamics and the degree to which these could reduce flood risk along Beach Boulevard. The study would also include community engagement events to gather public input throughout the two-year period.

This study would provide critical information to determine whether an offshore artificial reef is a feasible and cost-effective complement to the Beach Boulevard seawall, and the results could inform similar coastal resilience efforts elsewhere along San Mateo County’s Pacific coast. The total project cost is \$150,000, and OneShoreline’s funding request to DBW of \$75,000 represents one-half of the total project cost, which is the maximum percentage allowed by this State grant program. The grantee match will be provided by in-kind staff time contributed by OneShoreline and the City and funds secured by our agencies.

Impact on OneShoreline Resources: There is no fiscal impact to submit the grant application.

Attachment: Draft Resolution No. 2026-02-23

DRAFT RESOLUTION NO. 2026-02-23

**RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SAN MATEO COUNTY FLOOD AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCY DISTRICT
APPROVING SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS UNDER THE
STATE SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL GRANT PROGRAM FOR FY 2027-28**

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Board”) of the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (“OneShoreline”) that:

WHEREAS, the Legislature and Governor of the State of California have provided funds for the Shoreline Erosion Control Grant Program (“Grant Program”); and

WHEREAS, California State Parks, Division of Boating and Waterways has been delegated the responsibility to administer the Grant Program, including establishment of necessary procedures relating to submission of applications under the Grant Program; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by California State Parks, Division of Boating and Waterways require a resolution certifying the approval of application(s) by an applicant’s governing board before submission of said application(s) to the State; and

WHEREAS, OneShoreline desires to submit an application under the Grant Program for the Pacifica Beach Boulevard Offshore Artificial Reef Study (“Project”) and, if selected, will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the OneShoreline Board of Directors:

1. Approves the submission of an application for the Project; and
2. Certifies that OneShoreline will have sufficient funds to complete the Project consistent with the grant requirements or will secure the resources to do so; and
3. If applicable, certifies that the Project will comply with any laws and regulations including, but not limited to, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), legal requirements for building codes, health and safety codes, and disabled access laws and that prior to commencement of construction all applicable permits will have been obtained; and
4. Appoints the Chief Executive Officer, or designee, as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to, applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned Project.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of February, 2026, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Acting Clerk of the Board of Directors

Chair of the Board of Directors

**San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District
Agenda Report**

Date: February 23, 2026
To: San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District Board of Directors
From: Len Materman, CEO
Subject: Receive an update on OneShoreline’s *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*, the first iteration of which provides planning, design, and policy recommendations for stormwater systems, roads, and wastewater and water recycling systems based on an updated understanding of climate impacts

Recommendation

That the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (“OneShoreline”) Board of Directors (“Board”) receive an update on the development of the agency’s *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*.

Background and Discussion

In June 2023, the Board adopted [Planning Policy Guidance](#) for local Bayside jurisdictions, which recommended text for their key land use documents (general plans, specific area plans, and zoning ordinances) and the process to approve private developments. The objective of this document is to align the building of community-wide resilience to a future with increased extreme storms, coastal erosion, sea level rise, and shallow groundwater rise.

In early 2024, OneShoreline began an effort to build upon this leading-edge document with new guidance for the public infrastructure that makes our communities livable. This new document, tentatively titled [Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County](#) (“Public Infrastructure Guidance”), will provide clear, specific advice for San Mateo County, all twenty cities and towns within the county, and agencies that own and operate the infrastructure on how to account for these same climate hazards – extreme precipitation, coastal erosion, sea level rise, and shallow groundwater rise – in the policies, processes, and design manuals used to plan and approve public infrastructure projects.

Following a competitive procurement process in the spring of 2024, OneShoreline engaged Stantec Consulting Engineers, Inc., and its subconsultants Lotus Water and Pathways Climate Institute, to develop guidance for three public infrastructure asset types:

- [Stormwater Conveyance and Retention Systems](#) – identified as a high-priority asset type based on the extent and severity of flooding caused by constraints to the drainage capacity of local stormwater systems.
- [Roadways](#) – identified as a high-priority asset type since many communities rely on roads to serve as part of stormwater conveyance systems through the curb-to-curb conveyance of stormwater for the larger storm events that overwhelm most stormwater systems. Developing climate resilience guidance for local roads also provides an opportunity to partner with Caltrans where local and state roadways intersect.
- [Wastewater and Water Recycling System](#) – identified as a high-priority asset type given that the wastewater treatments plants in San Mateo County along the Bay or Pacific shorelines are extremely vulnerable to sea level rise and that the uninterrupted functionality of these assets, which in some cases include infrastructure for water recycling, are critical for human health and the environment.

Why this Matters

Public infrastructure in San Mateo County was largely designed using historical rainfall and tidal records, and FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that are based on past events. This previously did not pose a problem as the climate was relatively static and predictable.

Of course, that condition has changed dramatically. Extreme precipitation events are more frequent and severe, sea levels are rising more rapidly, shallow groundwater tables are rising in low-lying areas, compound flooding (coastal + precipitation + groundwater) is increasing, and weather event return periods (e.g., “100-year storms”) are no longer stable over time. Without an updated understanding of these threats – and updated and coordinated design standards to meet them – jurisdictions will continue to invest in undersized infrastructure that does not protect and serve our communities, site critical facilities in areas that become floodplains, and transfer risk between communities.

Key Guidance Concepts

The Public Infrastructure Guidance provides practical tools for planners, engineers, and decision-makers to move beyond historical assumptions and design infrastructure for future conditions. The following are key concepts being developed and incorporated:

- Design for Future Conditions, Not the Past: Shift the default to using the most current projections for storms and sea level rise, and consider compound events (e.g., king tide + major storm). This reduces the need for premature retrofits, supports the acquisition of environmental permits, and increases grant competitiveness.
- Take a Risk-Based Approach: Use a risk-based framework that considers service life, consequence of failure, and vulnerable populations served. This supports transparent prioritization of limited capital dollars and aligns with federal and state funding criteria that increasingly require risk-informed decision-making.
- Plan for Equity: Climate change amplifies inequities; ensure that projects reduce risk to vulnerable populations.
- Address Compound Hazards: Because the most damaging flood events occur when multiple hazards coincide (high tides + rainfall + groundwater), evaluate compound flooding scenarios and infrastructure interdependencies to understand the impacts of these events on systems.
- Use Adaptation Pathways: Rather than oversizing everything all at once, or underbuilding and then retrofitting, phase investments over time, define triggers for expansion, and preserve flexibility in early designs.
- Integrate Climate into Capital Improvement Programs: Embed climate considerations directly into Capital Improvement Programs, asset management systems, and project scoping processes through a repeatable screening and documentation process. This makes climate risk part of routine budgeting decisions and reduces the risk of stranded assets.
- Align with Regional and State Policy: The Public Infrastructure Guidance aligns with state laws related to General Plan Safety Elements, adaptation planning requirements of the Bay Conservation and Development Commission and California Coastal Commission. This alignment improves grant competitiveness, reduces regulatory conflict, and positions OneShoreline as a regional leader.
- Communicate Residual Risk Transparently: Even well-designed infrastructure cannot eliminate all flood risk. Document what protection is provided by infrastructure and what risks remain.
- Strengthen Operational and Emergency Alignment: Resilience is not solely achieved through capital investments, so infrastructure planning should be aligned with new operational protocols and emergency response plans.

How the Guidance Translates Climate Science into Design Criteria

A key challenge in climate-informed design is deciding how conservatively to plan: assuming worst-case scenarios may be infeasible or prohibitively expensive, while not taking threats seriously can result in infrastructure failure and stranded investments. The Public Infrastructure Guidance provides two practical tools to help agencies translate climate science into actionable design criteria.

- The Guidance frames climate risk using three adjustable "dials" that agencies calibrate during project design:
 1. *Climate Scenario* – assumptions about global warming, storms, and sea level rise (e.g., the State's Intermediate-High scenario)
 2. *Planning Horizon* – how far into the future an asset must perform based on its service life
 3. *Risk Tolerance* – the consequences of failure and the asset's criticality

Agencies use these dials iteratively during design, testing how different project configurations perform under various climate futures to identify solutions that are both feasible and resilient.

- Design Storm Scaling Factors: The Guidance provides scientifically derived, County-specific scaling factors that adjust the currently used federal standards to reflect projected increases in storm intensity. These scaling factors are consistent with the most recent climate science and the forthcoming Fifth California Climate Assessment. This allows jurisdictions to use simple and familiar design tools while accounting for future conditions, reducing the risk of undersized infrastructure and costly retrofits.

Advisory Group Engagement

OneShoreline convened three Asset Advisory Group meetings with staff and leadership from twelve City public works departments; County public works, planning, and sustainability departments; the San Mateo County Resource Conservation District; C/CAG; and wastewater and water recycling agencies. During and between these meetings, members of the Group reviewed the goals of the Guidance, case studies from other jurisdictions, the latest climate science and policy guidance, climate scenario recommendations, and concepts for resilience design.

Next Steps and Timeline

The project is on track for completion in fall 2026. The anticipated schedule for the remaining phases is:

- March 2026: Administrative Draft review by the Asset Advisory Group and other agency peer reviewers for technical feedback and refinement
- June 2026: Release of Public Draft for broader stakeholder review and public comment
- Fall 2026: Board consideration and adoption of final Public Infrastructure Guidance

Impact on OneShoreline Resources: There is no fiscal impact to receive this update. The costs associated with this effort are included in the current fiscal year budget and will be included in the FY 2026-27 Budget that will be presented to the Board at its meeting on June 22, 2026.

San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District Agenda Report

Date: February 23, 2026
To: San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District Board of Directors
From: Len Materman, Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”)
Subject: Authorize the CEO to execute Amendment 2 to an Agreement with Stantec Consulting Engineers, Inc. to develop the *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*

Recommendation

That the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (“OneShoreline”) Board of Directors (“Board”) authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute Amendment 2 to Agreement No. 2024-05-20-STA with Stantec Consulting Engineers, Inc. (“Stantec”) for services to support development of the *Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County*, by revising the scope of services, extending the contract term through November 1, 2026, and increasing the not-to-exceed amount by \$90,000 for new not-to-exceed amount of \$290,000.

Background and Discussion

As described in the Agenda Report for Agenda Item 4B on the previous two pages, on May 20, 2024, the OneShoreline Board authorized the CEO to execute an Agreement with Stantec and its subconsultants Lotus Water and Pathways Climate Institute, to develop the guidance for public agencies countywide to plan and design resilient public infrastructure (“Public Infrastructure Guidance”). This document aimed to build upon OneShoreline’s *Planning Policy Guidance* adopted by the Board in June 2023 that recommended text in key land use documents (general plans, specific area plans, and zoning ordinances) and agencies processes to ensure that private development is resilient to future conditions associated with extreme precipitation, coastal erosion, sea level rise, and shallow groundwater rise.

The contract with Stantec allowed this consultant team to work with OneShoreline staff and our Asset Advisory Groups to develop the new Public Infrastructure Guidance for how jurisdictions should integrate an updated understanding of climate impacts into the planning, designing, and policies associated with their stormwater conveyance and retention systems, roadways, and wastewater and water recycling systems.

The original Agreement executed in May 2024 ran through December 31, 2025, at a not-to-exceed amount of \$200,000. Amendment 1, executed by the CEO effective January 13, 2026, extended the contract term through June 30, 2026 at no additional cost to allow for completion of work already underway.

As the project has advanced, three gaps emerged in the original scope. First, climate science is rapidly progressing, and for the past couple of years scientists in California, including scientists on our Public Infrastructure Guidance consultant team, have been developing the State’s Fifth Climate Assessment that is expected to be completed in mid-2026. This work should inform our guidance to local jurisdictions in terms of new assumptions around the severity of extreme weather and higher tides, and the proposed scope increase to the original Agreement includes additional analytical work to include this new information. Second, we identified the need for a dedicated chapter on applying the Public Infrastructure Guidance to watershed, park and open space, and transportation-related plans, which is critical for the document to inform resilient capital improvement programs. Third, adding to the scope a process checklist and worked examples will be important to support uptake by planners and engineers on staff at local jurisdictions. Amendment 2 addresses these gaps and positions the Public Infrastructure Guidance to better support staff and elected officials at local, regional, and state agencies.

Impact on OneShoreline Resources: The costs associated with the \$90,000 increase in consultant support, and OneShoreline staff time, will be covered by a Measure K grant from San Mateo County and supplemented by funds from a State Coastal Conservancy grant to OneShoreline. The costs for this effort in the next fiscal year will be included in the FY 2026-27 Budget that will be presented to the Board at its meeting on June 22, 2026.

Attachment: Draft Amendment 2 to Agreement 2024-05-20-STA with Stantec Consulting Engineers, Inc.

**SECOND AMENDMENT
TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
SAN MATEO COUNTY FLOOD AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCY DISTRICT
AND STANTEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.**

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT No. 2024-05-20-STA (“Amendment 2”) is entered into effective February 23, 2026, by and between the SAN MATEO COUNTY FLOOD AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCY DISTRICT (the “District”) and STANTEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. (“Contractor”) (together, the “Parties”).

Recitals

A. Effective May 20, 2024, the Parties entered into the Agreement No. 2024-05-20-STA (“Agreement”) to support the development of the *Planning Policy Guidance for Resilient Public Infrastructure in San Mateo County* for the term of May 20, 2024 through December 31, 2025; and

B. Effective January 13, 2026, the Parties amended the Agreement to extend the term through June 30, 2026 (“Amendment 1”); and

C. The Parties now desire to enter into this Amendment 2 to increase the maximum fiscal obligation under the Agreement, further extend the term, and revise the scope of services.

Amendment 2

For good and valuable considerations as hereinafter set forth, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Incorporation of Recitals.** The Parties acknowledge the truth of the Recitals set forth herein above, which are hereby incorporated into this Amendment 2.
2. **Increase of Maximum Fiscal Obligation.** Section 3 of the Agreement entitled, “Payments”, is replaced in its entirety to read as follows:

In consideration of the services provided by Contractor in accordance with all terms set forth in this Amendment 2 and in Exhibit D, the District shall make payment to Contractor based on the rates and in the manner specified in Exhibit D. The District reserves the right to withhold payment if the District determines that the quantity or quality of the work performed is unacceptable. In no event shall the District’s total fiscal obligation under this Amendment 2 exceed TWO HUNDRED NINETY THOUSAND DOLLARS AND ZERO CENTS (\$290,000.00) for the extended term through November 1, 2026. In the event that District makes any advance payments, Contractor agrees to refund any amounts in excess of the amount owed by District at the time of contract termination or expiration. Contractor is not entitled to payment for services not performed as required by this Amendment 2.

3. **Extension of Term.** Section 4 of the Agreement, entitled “Term,” is amended to extend the term of Agreement through November 1, 2026.

4. **Amendment to Scope of Services.** Exhibit D, entitled “Amended Scope of Services and Budget”, attached to this Amendment 2 and incorporated herein by this reference, outlines additional scope, schedule, and budget for supplemental services to be performed under the Agreement.

The existing Exhibits A, B, and C remain in effect and continue to govern the services, schedule, and compensation previously approved. The services and associated milestones and compensation set forth in Exhibit D are intended to supplement the work already authorized and do not replace the work described in the existing Exhibits A, B and C.

The Contractor shall continue to perform all services in accordance with the Agreement, as previously amended, together with the additional services described in Exhibit D.

If there is any inconsistency between Exhibit D and the existing Exhibits A, B, or C, the provisions of Exhibit D shall apply only to the additional services described therein, and the existing Exhibits A, B and C shall otherwise continue to apply.

5. **Counterparts.** This Amendment 2 may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
6. **Remaining Terms Unaffected.** All other terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. The Agreement, as amended, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be modified except by an instrument in writing duly executed by the Parties hereto. In the event of any conflict between the terms of the Agreement and the terms of this Amendment 2, the terms of this Amendment 2 shall control.

For Contractor: STANTEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.

Signature: _____

Authorized Signatory: _____ (Print Name)

On behalf of the DISTRICT:

Len Materman, CEO

Exhibit D – Amended Scope of Services and Budget

Scope of Additional Services

Task 1 — Project Management & Communications

- Convene and facilitate one (1) additional Asset Advisory Group meeting to review the Administrative Draft of the Guidance.
- Provide additional project management, coordination, and meeting support associated with the extended project schedule, including scheduling, agenda development, materials preparation, and meeting summaries as needed.

Task 3 — Phase 1 Guidance Document Finalization

- Develop scaling factors for design storm adjustments based on the California Fifth Climate Assessment, Shared Socio-Economic Pathway (SSP) 3 global climate change scenario.
- Prepare additional graphics, figures, and visual aids to support technical content and improve clarity and usability of the Guidance.
- Develop a new “Guidance for Planning Documents” chapter addressing how the Guidance should be applied in planning and policy contexts.
- Facilitate working sessions and develop content related to implementation strategies and monitoring, including approaches for applying and tracking use of the Guidance over time.
- Develop simplified process checklist to support use of the guidance by capital project managers.
- Develop up to three (3) simple worked examples illustrating application of the Guidance in typical planning or project scenarios.
- Provide assistance with public draft comment responses, including preparation of a comment–response matrix suitable for OneShoreline review and documentation.
- Prepare and deliver the final draft Phase 1 Guidance document, incorporating approved revisions.

Amended Schedule

- **Administrative Draft – March 2026**
Delivery of a complete internal draft for OneShoreline and Asset Advisory Group review.
- **Public Draft – May 2026**
Delivery of a public review draft suitable for stakeholder and public comment.
- **Final Draft – September 2026**
Delivery of the final Phase 1 Guidance Document incorporating responses to comments and final edits.

Assumptions

- OneShoreline will develop content related to General Plans and Specific Plans.
- OneShoreline will primarily lead content related to wastewater/recycled water.
- Guidance document will be laid out in MS Word.
- OneShoreline will provide consolidated a consolidated and prioritized comment log for the Administrative Draft and Public Draft milestones.

Budget for Additional Scope

Task	Pathways			Lotus			Stantec	TOTALS	
	Principal	Senior Associate	Technician	Principal	Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Project Delivery Assistant	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL \$
	\$300.00	\$150.00	\$135.00	\$260.00	\$230.00	\$230.00	\$261.00		
1 Project Management and Internal Communications	38	50	0	40	42		12	170	\$ 39,092
Additional Project Meetings and Extended Schedule	14	20		20	18		12	84	\$ 16,672
Additional preparation time for AAGs + Admin Draft AAG	24	30		20	24			98	\$ 22,420
3 Guidance Document Preparation	54	85	16	24	30	8		217	\$ 46,090
Adminstrative Draft									
Additional Scaling Factors, RSAP-SSP3 content, Additional Planning Documents Chapter, Implementation and Monitoring content, Basic Checklists, Graphics and Layout, Review & Finalization, Basic worked examples	24	51	16	8	4	8		111	\$ 21,850
Public Draft	18	22		8	14			62	\$ 14,000
Final	12	12		8	12			44	\$ 10,240
Total Hours	92	135	16	64	72	8	12	387	--
Total by Person	\$27,600.00	\$20,250.00	\$2,160.00	\$16,640.00	\$16,560.00	\$1,840.00	\$3,132.00	--	\$ 85,182
Total by Firm	\$50,010.00			\$35,040.00			\$3,132.00		
Markup (2%)	\$1,000.20			\$700.80			--		
TOTAL AMENDMENT AMOUNT				\$89,883.00					

Amended Not-to-Exceed Amount

Original Budget	\$200,000
Amendment	\$90,000
Amended Not-to-Exceed	\$290,000